

Supersymmetric Topological Quantum Field Theories Of Differential Forms I. Gauge p-forms

S.N.Solodukhin

Department of Theoretical Physics, Physics Faculty of Moscow University,
Moscow 117234, Russia

Abstract

We consider the topological theory of Witten type for gauge differential p-forms. It is shown that some topological invariants such as linking numbers appear under quantization of this theory. The non-abelian generalization of the model is discussed.

1 Introduction

Recently much attention has been paid to the study of topological quantum field theories [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. In these theories the action functional either doesn't depend on metric on the space-time manifold M^n or metrical variation of action is BRST-commutator. Such a theories are classified as correspondingly of Schwarz [3] and Witten [1] classes. One obtains the topological invariants under consideration the quantum average of operators independent on space-time metric and BRST-invariant in Witten case [1].

The wide class of Schwarz type theories was considered in [2] by means of differential forms on M^n . The simplest action of this type has the form [2], [3]:

$$S = \int_{M^n} B \wedge dA \quad (1.1)$$

where B and A are correspondingly rank p and $n - p - 1$ differential forms. The action (1.1) is invariant under gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow A + d\alpha \\ B &\rightarrow B + d\beta \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where α and β are differential forms of rank $(n - p - 2)$ and $(p - 1)$ correspondingly. The space of gauge inequivalent solutions for the action (1.1) is direct sum of cohomologies $H^{(p)}(M) \oplus H^{(n-p-1)}(M)$ [2].

Let U_p and U_{n-p-1} are two nonintersecting submanifolds of dimension p and $(n - p - 1)$, which represent an trivial elements in $H_p(M)$ and $H_{(n-p-1)}(M)$ correspondingly. Then one obtains that the quantum average values

$$\langle \int_{U_p} B \int_{U_{n-p-1}} A \rangle = L(U_p, U_{n-p-1}) \quad (1.3)$$

give us linking number $L(U_p, U_{n-p-1})$ of manifolds U_p and U_{n-p-1} [5], [6].

On the other hand the following action is well known [7]

$$S = \int_{M^n} \frac{1}{2} * dA_p \wedge dA_p \quad (1.4)$$

(where we denote A_p the rank p differential form), which is also invariant under the (1.2)-type gauge transformations:

$$A_p \rightarrow A_p + d\alpha_{p-1} \quad (1.5)$$

However the action (1.4) depends on metric via the Hodge dualization operator $*$.

The other similar action is following

$$S = \int_{M^n} \frac{1}{2} * \delta B_p \wedge \delta B_p \quad (1.6)$$

where δ is operator conjugated to d with respect to natural scalar product $\int * C_p \wedge B_p$. Action (1.6) is invariant under following gauge transformations:

$$B_p \rightarrow B_p + \delta\alpha_{p+1} \quad (1.7)$$

where α_{p+1} is arbitrary $(p+1)$ -form.

It is easy to see that the space of gauge inequivalent solutions for the actions (1.4), (1.6) is cohomology space $H^p(M)$. The actions (1.4), (1.6) are not topological since they depend on metric. However one can attempt to get topological action of Witten type by adding an corresponding fermion term to (1.4), (1.6). The action of this type in two dimensions was constructed in [8] to describe the nonstandard string model. Here we consider such a supersymmetric extension in any dimensions. As it was noted in [7] the action (1.6) can be transformed to the form (1.4) by substituting $A_{n-p} = *B_p$. Therefore we will consider only the action (1.4).

2 The Supersymmetric Action

Let us consider the boson differential p -form A_p and set of fermion forms Ψ_p and Ψ_{p+1} , valued in Grassman algebra (two such Grassman forms ψ_p and χ_q satisfy

$\psi_p \chi_q = (-1)^{qp+1} \chi_q \phi_p$, which differs by a minus sign from the relation satisfied by conventional bosonic forms: $A_p B_q = (-1)^{pq} B_q A_p$).

The supersymmetric generalization of action (1.4) is following

$$S^{(p)} = \int_{M^n} \frac{1}{2} * dA_p \wedge dA_p + *\Psi_{p+1} \wedge d\Psi_p \quad (2.1)$$

(We write the fermion part of action (2.1) in this form in order to the supersymmetry transformation (see eq.(2.3)) would not depend on metric over M^n).

It should be noted that action of this type was considered recently in [9] from other point of view (the action in [9] corresponds to our one in the particular case $n = 4k + 1$, $p = 2k$ and under constraint $*\Psi_{p+1} = \Psi_p$).

The action (2.1) is invariant under gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} A_p &\rightarrow A_p + d\alpha_{p-1} \\ \Psi_p &\rightarrow \Psi_p + d\eta_{p-1} \\ \Psi_{p+1} &\rightarrow \Psi_{p+1} + \delta\xi_{p+2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where η_{p-1} and ξ_{p+2} are Grassman differential forms of rank (p-1) and (p+2) correspondingly.

The action (2.1) is invariant also under transformation of supersymmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &= \epsilon \hat{Q} F \equiv \epsilon \{Q, \mathcal{F}\} \\ \hat{Q} A_p &= \Psi_p \\ \hat{Q} \Psi_{p+1} &= -dA_p \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Generally the operator $\hat{Q}$ is not nilpotent since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}^2 \Psi_{p+1} &= \hat{T} \Psi_{p+1} \\ \hat{T} \Psi_{p+1} &= -d\Psi_p \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Consequently operator $\hat{Q}$ is nilpotent only on space of $\hat{T}$ -invariant functionals. Notice that though the action (2.1) is $\hat{T}$ -invariant it hasn't the form of $\hat{Q}$ -commutator. One should introduce an additional boson (p+1)-form A_{p+1} with following transformation law under the supersymmetry:

$$\hat{Q} A_{p+1} = \Psi_{p+1} \quad (2.5)$$

Then the action $S^{(p)}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(p)} &= \hat{Q} X \\ X &= \int -\frac{1}{2} * \Psi_{p+1} \wedge dA_p + \frac{1}{2} * A_{p+1} \wedge d\Psi_p \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Acting by operator $\hat{Q}^2$ on A_{p+1} one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}^2 A_{p+1} &= \hat{T} A_{p+1} \\ \hat{T} A_{p+1} &= -dA_p\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

One can see that the functional X (2.6) is $\hat{T}$ -invariant and it is invariant also under gauge transformations of A_{p+1} :

$$A_{p+1} \rightarrow A_{p+1} + \delta\beta_{p+2}\tag{2.8}$$

It is essential in Witten theory [1] that energy-momentum tensor is $\hat{Q}$ -commutator. We also have for variation of (2.1) under the metric g :

$$\delta_g S^{(p)} = \hat{Q}(\delta_g X)\tag{2.9}$$

Let us note that though the additional field A_{p+1} doesn't contribute explicitly into the action it is part of supermultiplet. Hence one should take into account the field A_{p+1} and gauge invariance with respect to (2.8) in functional integral under quantization. One gets from (2.1) the classical equations of motion:

$$\delta dA_p = 0, \delta\Psi_{p+1} = 0, d\Psi_p = 0\tag{2.10}$$

Hereafter we will consider M^n be compact closed manifold. Then modulo gauge transformations (2.2) the solutions of equations (2.10) are represented by elements of cohomology spaces: $A_p \in H^p(M)$, $\Psi_p \in H^p(M)$, $\Psi_{p+1} \in H^{p+1}(M)$.

3 The Classical Hodge Theory

According to Hodge decomposition the space $\Omega^p(M)$ on manifold M is decomposed on direct sum:

$$\Omega^p(M) = d\Omega^{p-1} \oplus \delta\Omega^{p+1}(M) \oplus Ker\Delta_p\tag{3.1}$$

where Δ_p is Laplacian acting on the space of p-forms:

$$\Delta_p = \delta_{p+1}d_p + d_{p-1}\delta_p\tag{3.2}$$

The elements of the space $Ker\Delta_p$ are p-forms $\phi_0^{(p)} \in H^p(M)$ satisfying the equations:

$$d_p\phi_0^{(p)} = \delta_p\phi_0^{(p)} = 0\tag{3.3}$$

In the space $d\Omega^{p-1}(M)$ there is natural basis $\beta_n^{(p)}$ of eigenforms of the Laplacian Δ_p :

$$d\delta\beta_n^{(p)} = [\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(p)}]^2\beta_n^{(p)}\tag{3.4}$$

where eigenvalues $\{\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(p)}\}$ may depend on rank p .

On the other hand there is corresponding basis $\{\alpha_n^{(p)}\}$ on the space $\delta\Omega^{p-1}(M)$:

$$\delta d\alpha_n^{(p)} = [\lambda_n^{(p)}]^2 \alpha_n^{(p)} \quad (3.5)$$

The basis (3.4), (3.5) corresponds to nonzero (positive) eigenvalues of Δ_p (3.3). One can see that if p -form $\beta_n^{(p)}$ is solution of eq.(3.4) then $(p-1)$ -form $\alpha_n^{(p)} = (\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(p)})^{-2} \delta\beta_n^{(p)}$ is solution of eq.(3.5): $\delta d\alpha_n^{(p-1)} = [\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(p)}]^2 \alpha_n^{(p-1)}$. And vice versa, if $\alpha_n^{(p)}$ is solution of (3.5) then $(p+1)$ -form $\beta_n^{(p+1)} = (\lambda_n^{(p+1)})^{-2} d\alpha_n^{(p)}$ is solution of (3.4): $d\delta\beta_n^{(p+1)} = [\lambda_n^{(p)}]^2 \beta_n^{(p+1)}$. Hence one gets the identity for eigenvalues:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n^{(p)} = \lambda_n^{(p-1)} \quad (3.6)$$

The Hodge operator $*$: $\Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{(n-p)}(M)$ satisfies the identity $** = (-1)^{p(n-p)}$. On the other hand the adjoint of d_{p-1} operator is $\delta_p = (-1)^{pn+n+1} * d_{n-p} *$. Then one obtains that

$$* d\delta = \delta d * \quad (3.7)$$

Consequently, if $\alpha_n^{(p)}$ is solution of (3.5) with eigenvalue $\lambda_n^{(p)}$ then $(n-p)$ -form $*\alpha_n^{(p)}$ satisfies eq.(3.4) with the same eigenvalue, i.e. $\beta_m^{(n-p)} = *\alpha_m^{(p)}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_m^{(n-p)} = \lambda_m^{(p)}$. Together with (3.6) it gives us that

$$\lambda_m^{(n-p-1)} = \lambda_m^{(p)} \quad (3.8)$$

Let $\{\alpha_n^{(p)}\}$ is orthonormal set of eigenforms (3.5) then $\{\beta_n^{(p)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{(p-1)}} d\alpha_n^{(p-1)}\}$ is orthonormal set of eigenforms (3.4).

We have the canonical decomposition for arbitrary p -form:

$$A_p = \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_p} b_i^{(p)} \phi_i^{(p)} + \sum_n a_n^{(p)} \alpha_n^{(p)} + \tilde{a}_n^{(p)} \beta_n^{(p)} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\phi_i^{(p)}$, $i = 1, \dots, \beta_p$ is basis of harmonic p -forms, β_p is p -th Betti number. One has similar decomposition for fermion p -form:

$$\Psi_p = \sum_{i_p}^{\beta_p} \eta_i^{(p)} \phi_i^{(p)} + \sum_n \theta_n^{(p)} \alpha_n^{(p)} + \tilde{\theta}_n^{(p)} \beta_n^{(p)} \quad (3.10)$$

where the coefficients are anticommutating. Substituting now (3.9), (3.10), into action (2.1) one gets:

$$S^{(p)} = \sum_n \frac{1}{2} [\lambda_n^{(p)}]^2 a_n^{(p)} a_n^{(p)} + \lambda_n^{(p)} \tilde{\theta}_n^{(p+1)} \theta_n^{(p)} \quad (3.11)$$

It is easy rewrite the supersymmetry transformations (2.3), (2.5) in terms of new variables:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q}a_n^{(p)} &= \theta_n^{(p)} \\ \hat{Q}\tilde{\theta}_n^{(p+1)} &= -\lambda_n^{(p)}a_n^{(p)} \\ \hat{Q}\tilde{a}_n^{(p+1)} &= \tilde{\theta}_n^{(p+1)}\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

It is worth noting that the variation of action $S^{(p)}$ (2.1) under metric is not $\hat{Q}$ -commutator (without introduction of additional field A_{p+1}). Nevertheless one gets for variation of $S^{(p)}$ (3.11) under variation of eigenvalues $\lambda_n^{(p)}$:

$$\delta_\lambda S^{(p)} = \sum_n \delta\lambda_n^{(p)} \hat{Q}(-\tilde{\theta}_n^{(p+1)}a_n^{(p)})\tag{3.13}$$

4 Quanization And Topological Invariants

We fix the gauge

$$A_p^L = 0, \Psi_p^L = 0, A_{p+1}^T = 0, \Psi_{p+1}^T = 0\tag{4.1}$$

where $B_p^L \in d\Omega^{p-1}(M)$, $B_p^T \in \delta\Omega^{p+1}(M)$. We get the following measure on the space of gauge inequivalent forms A_p (for more details see [3], [10]):

$$\mathcal{D}A_p = \mathcal{D}A_p^T \mathcal{F}_p d\mu_0^{(p)},\tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}A_p^T &= \prod_n da_n^{(p)} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(<\alpha_n^{(p)}, \alpha_m^{(p)}>), \\ <\phi^{(p)}, \psi^{(p)}> &= \int_{M^n} *\phi^{(p)} \wedge \psi^{(p)}\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

is integrating measure on the space of the transverse p-forms $\delta\Omega^{p+1}(M)$. We have the standard form [3], [7], [10] for the factor $\mathcal{F}_p$ in (4.2) due to gauge fixing:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_p &= E_{p-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{p-2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dots \\ E_{p-i} &= \det'(\delta_{p-i+1} d_{p-i})\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

where $\det'$ denotes the zeta-function regularization of determinant with zero modes excluded. In the integration measure over zero modes $d\mu_0^{(p)}$ one should take into account both zero-modes of p-form A_p and zero-modes of operators $\delta_{p-i+1} d_{p-i}$ (4.4):

$$\begin{aligned}d\mu_0^{(p)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_p} db_i^{(p)} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(<\phi_i^{(p)}, \phi_j^{(p)}>) \\ \prod_{k=1}^p \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p-k}} df_i^{(p-k)} &[\det(<\phi_i^{(p-k)}, \phi_j^{(p-k)}>)]^{\frac{(-1)^k}{2}}\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

where we introduce the ghost zero-modes $f_i^{(p-k)}$ which are fermionic for odd k and bosonic for even k.

As it was noted above we have to take into account the integration over additional field A_{p+1} with gauge invariance under (2.8) in functional integral:

$$\mathcal{D}A_{p+1} = \mathcal{D}A_{p+1}^L \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_p d\tilde{\mu}_0^{(p+1)} \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}A_{p+1}^L = \prod_n d\tilde{a}_n^{(p+1)} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(< \beta_n^{(p+1)}, \beta_m^{(p+1)} >) \quad (4.7)$$

is measure on the space of longitudinal forms $d\Omega^p(M)$. We have for the gauge fixing factors in (4.6):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_p = E_{p+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{p+2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dots = \mathcal{F}_{n-p-1} \quad (4.8)$$

and for measure on the space of zero modes:

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\mu}_0^{(p+1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+1}} db_i^{(p+1)} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(< \phi_i^{(p+1)}, \phi_j^{(p+1)} >) \\ \prod_{k=1}^{n-p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+k+1}} df_i^{(p+k+1)} &[\det(< \phi_i^{(p+k+1)}, \phi_j^{(p+k+1)} >)]^{\frac{(-1)^k}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where the ghost zero modes $f_i^{(p+k+1)}$ are fermions for odd k and bosons for even k. One gets similar expression for measure of integration over the fermion field Ψ_p :

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_p = \mathcal{D}\Psi_p^T \mathcal{F}_p^{-1} d\mu_{0,f}^{(p)} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_p^T = \prod_n d\theta_n^{(p)} \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(< \alpha_n^{(p)}, \alpha_m^{(p)} >) \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_{0,f}^{(p)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_p} d\eta_i^{(p)} \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(< \phi_i^{(p)}, \phi_j^{(p)} >) \\ \prod_{k=1}^p \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p-k}} dg_i^{(p-k)} &[\det(< \phi_i^{(p-k)}, \phi_j^{(p-k)} >)]^{\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where the ghost zero modes $g_i^{(p-k)}$ are superpartners for ghosts $f_i^{(p-k)}$ and they are bosons for even k and fermions for odd k. It is easy to see that the expression for $\mathcal{D}\Psi_p$ is similar to $\mathcal{D}A_p$ but all determinant's powers have opposite sign.

Finally for the integration measure over fermion form Ψ_{p+1} one gets:

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_{p+1} = \mathcal{D}\Psi_{p+1}^L \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_p^{-1} d\tilde{\mu}_{0,f}^{(p+1)} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\mathcal{D}\Psi_{p+1}^L = \prod_n d\tilde{\theta}_n^{(p+1)} \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(< \beta_n^{(p+1)}, \beta_m^{(p+1)} >) \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\mu}_{0,f}^{(p+1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+1}} d\eta_i^{(p+1)} \det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(< \phi_i^{(p+1)}, \phi_j^{(p+1)} >) \\ \prod_{k=1}^{n-p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+k+1}} dg_i^{(p+k+1)} &[\det(< \phi_i^{(p+k+1)}, \phi_j^{(p+k+1)} >)]^{\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where $g_i^{(p+k+1)}$ are bosons for odd k and fermions for even k .

Thus one has for quantum average of gauge invariant operator $\mathcal{O}$:

$$Z(\mathcal{O}) = \int \mathcal{D}A_p \mathcal{D}A_{p+1} \mathcal{D}\Psi_p \mathcal{D}\Psi_{p+1} \mathcal{O} \exp(-S^{(p)}) \quad (4.16)$$

Generally all determinants in the integration measure (4.16) depend on background metric on manifold M^n (through of corresponding anomalies). The detail expressions for metrical variation of determinants one can find in [3], [10]. It is important for us that in total measure $\mathcal{D}\mu = \mathcal{D}A_p \mathcal{D}\Psi_p \mathcal{D}A_{p+1} \mathcal{D}\Psi_{p+1}$ all determinants are mutually cancelled, so the total measure $\mathcal{D}\mu$ is metrical independent.

In the case when all Laplacians on M^n don't have zero modes let us consider the partition function:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\mu \exp -S^{(p)} \quad (4.17)$$

Inserting $S^{(p)}$ in the form (3.11) and integrating we obtain:

$$Z \equiv R^{(p)}(M) = \prod_n \pm \frac{\lambda_n^{(p)}}{|\lambda_n^{(p)}|} \quad (4.18)$$

One can check that it is topological invariant. As in paper [1] it is consequence of that the measure $\mathcal{D}\mu$ is metrical independent and Q -invariant and metrical variation of the action has the form $\delta_g S^{(p)} = \{Q, X\}(2.9)$. Invariant (4.18) is similar to the one of the Donaldson invariants [1] and to the Casson invariant [11], [12]. One chooses sign in (4.18) by the same way as in [1]. Let us note that it is consequence of (3.8) that we have identity: $R^{(p)}(M) = R^{(n-p-1)}(M)$.

Let U_p and U_{n-p-1} be two non-intersecting submanifolds of dimensions p and $n-p-1$ that represent trivial elements in $H_p(M)$ and $H_{n-p-1}(M)$ respectively. Then after standard calculations (see [5], [6]) one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} < \int_{U_{n-p-1}} *dA_p \int_{U_p} A_p > = < \int_{U_{n-p-1}} *\Psi_{p+1} \int_{U_p} \Psi_p > \\ &= L(U_{n-p-1}, U_p) R^{(p)}(M) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $L(U, V)$ is linking number of U and V [5], [6].

Hence not only metric independent action (1.1) gives us linking numbers under quantization but the action (2.1) which is metrical dependent and describes topological theory of Witten type leads to the same result.

In general case when circles $\gamma_i^{(k)}, i = 1, \dots, \beta_k$ form basis of k -dimensional homology $H_k(M)$ the partition function Z (4.17) is equal to zero due to zero fermion modes. Then only operators with corresponding fermion number will give nontrivial average [1]. One can consider the measure in functional integral (4.17) as measure on the direct sum of cohomologies of manifold M : $H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n H^k(M)$ [10]. Then nontrivial quantum average of an operator is result of integrating over H .

Let us consider the following gauge invariant operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} = & F[N_{i_m}^m] \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_p} \int_{\gamma_i^{(p)}} \Psi_p \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+1}} \int_{\gamma_i^{n-(p+1)}} * \Psi_{p+1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+1+2k}} \int_{\gamma_i^{n-(p+1+2k)}} * g_{(p+1+2k)} \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p-2k}} \int_{\gamma_i^{p-2k}} g_{(p-2k)} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p-2k-1}} \int_{\gamma_i^{(p-2k-1)}} f_{(p-2k-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{p+2k+2}} \int_{\gamma_i^{n-(p+2k+2)}} * f_{(p+2k+2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i_p}^p &= \int_{\gamma_{i_p}} A_p, N_{i_{p+1}}^{p+1} = \int_{\gamma_{i_{p+1}}^{n-p-1}} * A_{p+1} \\ N_i^{p-2k} &= \int_{\gamma_i^{p-2k}} f_{p-2k}, i = 1, \dots, \beta_{p-2k} \\ N_i^{p+1+2k} &= \int_{\gamma_i^{n-p-2k-1}} * f_{p+2k+1}, i = 1, \dots, \beta_{p+2k+1} \\ N_i^{p-2k-1} &= \int_{\gamma_i^{p-2k-1}} g_{p-2k-1}, i = 1, \dots, \beta_{p-2k-1} \\ N_i^{p+2k+2} &= \int_{\gamma_i^{(n-p-2k-2)}} * g_{(p+2k+2)}, i = 1, \dots, \beta_{(n-p-2k-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Function $F[N_{i_m}^m]$ in (4.20) is an arbitrary function of the form

$$F[N_{i_m}^m] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_m dP_{i_m}^m \tilde{F}[P_{i_m}^m] \exp i \left(\sum_m P_{i_m}^m N_{i_m}^m \right) \quad (4.22)$$

which one can consider as an integrable function on the space of cohomologies H (variables $N_{i_m}^m$ are coordinates in $H^m(M)$).

We introduce the ghost k -forms $f_{(k)}$ and $g_{(k)}$ in (4.20) which are fermions or bosons in dependence on k and correspond to the determinants $E_k^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ in the measure $\mathcal{D}\mu$.

In the cohomology space $H^k(M)$ one can choose basis $\phi_i^{(k)}$:

$$\int_{\gamma_j^{(k)}} \phi_i^{(k)} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \int_{\gamma_j^{(n-k)}} * \phi_i^{(k)} = \delta_{ij} \quad (4.23)$$

One can show (by means of direct calculations) that quantum average of operator $\mathcal{O}$ (4.20) is metric independent and equal to

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = R^{(p)}(M) \tilde{F}[0] \quad (4.24)$$

where $\tilde{F}[0]$ are Fourier coefficients (4.22) at zero. It can be represented as result of integrating of the function (4.22) over $H = \oplus_{k=0}^n H^k$:

$$\tilde{F}[0] = \int_H F[N_{i_m}^m] \prod_m dN_{i_m}^m \quad (4.25)$$

In the topological theories of Witten type the quantum averages of operators after integrating out the non-zero modes are represented as differential forms over zero modes space. In the Witten model [1] it is instanton moduli space. In the model under consideration it is cohomology space $H = \oplus_{k=0}^n H^k(M)$.

5 Non-abelian generalization

Let E be an vector bundle with base M and group G ; $\Omega^p(M, E)$ is space of p -forms taking values in fibres of E and C is one-form connection in the bundle E . Then one has for covariant exterior derivative of an element $A_p \in \Omega^p(M, E)$: $d_C A_p = dA_p + C \wedge A_p$. The corresponding generalization of action (2.1) is following:

$$S^{(p)} = \int \frac{1}{2} * d_C A_p \wedge d_C A_p + * \Psi_{p+1} \wedge d_C \Psi_p \quad (5.1)$$

which is invariant under supersymmetry:

$$\hat{Q} A_p = \Psi_p, \quad \hat{Q} \Psi_{p+1} = -d_C A_p \quad (5.2)$$

If E is flat bundle, i.e. $d_C^2 = 0$, then the action (5.1) has gauge symmetry which is similar to (2.2). It is easy to extend analysis of Sections 2-4 for this case. The essential difference of non-abelian case appears in construction of gauge invariant operators. One should insert the path-ordered exponent $G(x, y) = \text{Pexp} \int_x^y C$. For example the quantum averages of following operator (which is generalization of (4.19)):

$$\int_{U_{n-p-1}} * dA_p(x) G(x, y) \int_{U_p} A_p(y) \quad (5.3)$$

will give us the non-abelian generalization of linking numbers [5].

The action (5.1) isn't invariant under gauge symmetry of type (2.2) if E is not flat bundle. However we should note following important circumstance. The action $S^{(p)}$ (5.1) depends on the external fields: metric g and gauge field C . It is easy to see that variation of $S^{(p)}$ under the gauge field C takes the form of Q -commutator:

$$\delta_C S^{(p)} = \hat{Q} \left[\int_M - * (\delta C \wedge A_p) \wedge \Psi_{p+1} \right] \quad (5.4)$$

Hence the topological invariants which appear under quantization of (5.1) will be both metrical invariants (i.e. they are not changed under local variation of metric g) and invariants of bundle E (i.e. they are not changed under local variation of connection C in the bundle E). The corresponding non-abelian analog of invariant $R^{(p)}(M)$ (4.18) is one of this type.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Yu.N.Obukhov for very useful discussions. I also thank D.Fursaev for kind hospitality at Joint Institute of Nuclear Research in Dubna.

References

- [1] E.Witten, Comm.Math.Phys.**117** (1988), 353.
- [2] G.T.Horowitz, Comm.Math.Phys. **125** (1989), 417.
- [3] A.Schwarz, Lett.Math.Phys. **2** (1978), 201; Comm.Math.Phys. **67** (1979), 1; in: *Modern problems of Mathematics*, vol. 17 (Moscow, 1981), p.113.
- [4] D.Birmingham, M.Blau, M.Rakowski and G.Thompson, Phys.Rep. **209** (1991), 290.
- [5] G.T.Horowitz, M.Srednicki, Comm.Math.Phys. **130** (1990), 33; M.Blau, G.Thompson, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **205** (1991), 130.
- [6] S.Wu, Comm.Math.Phys. **136** (1991), 157.
- [7] E.Nambu, Phys.Repts.**C23** (1976) 250; E.Sezgin, P.van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys.Rev. **D22** (1980) 301; W.Siegel, Phys.Lett. **B93** (1980) 170; P.K.Townsend, Phys.Lett. **B90** (1980) 275; Yu.N.Obukhov, Phys.Lett. **B109** (1980) 195; R.Rohm, E.Witten, Ann.Phys.(NY) **V.170** (1986) 454; D.Olivier, Phys.Rev. **D33** (1986) 2462; C.Teitelboim, Phys.Lett. **B167** (1986) 63; J.M.Rabin, Phys.Lett. **B172** (1986) 333.
- [8] S.N.Solodukhin, Ann.d.Phys. **46** (1989) 439; Int.J.Theor.Phys. **31** (1992) 47.
- [9] M.G.Cleveland, T.Pritchett and U.K.Albertin, Nucl.Phys. **B351** (1991), 298.
- [10] A.S.Schwarz, Yu.S.Tyupkin, Nucl.Phys. **B242** (1984), 436.

- [11] E.Witten, Nucl.Phys. **B323** (1989) 113.
- [12] D.Birmingham, M.Blau and G.Thompson, Int.J.M.Phys.**A5** (1990) 4721;
M.F.Atiyah, L.Jeffrey, J.Geom.Phys. **7** (1990) 119; C.H.Taubes, J.Diff.Geom.**31**
(1990) 301.